

Summary of responses to the Draft Sustainable Design in Plymouth SPD 18th October – 21st December 2007

There were 9 responses to this Supplementary Planning Document as outlined below:

Consultee	Issues
The Environment Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the document • Makes constructive points on Climate Change Chapter.
Morrison Supermarkets PLC (agents Peacock & Smith Ltd)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned about requirement for applications to submit a Climate Change & Sustainability Statement wishes this to be optional.
Queens Anne's Quay Residents Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned that Tall Buildings guidance includes their car park within the Zone of Opportunity for Tall Buildings.
Persimmon Special Projects Western (agents Pegasus Planning Group)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally commends the Council for the production of the SPD considers it to be sound & makes constructive detail comments. • Seeks recognition that the LPA should be flexible in its acceptance of design solutions, and level of detail required for outline planning consents. • Seeks pragmatic stance in relation to zero carbon development, will attempt to achieve what is economically possible for each site. • Seeks LPA resources to maintain constructive pre-application discussions. • Document should avoid duplicating energy efficiency issues more readily addressed by the Building regulations. • Welcomes the flexibility made regarding renewable energy technologies and considers that solutions should be specific to each site and should include off site solutions.
Francis Bettison	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tall Buildings, considers the Zone of opportunity should include the area of Colin Cambell Court given this is in what he considers to be the Central Business

	<p>District of the City.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seeks recognition that Climate Change & Sustainability targets are more difficult to deliver economically with Tall Buildings. • Makes corrective text and notes within an appended copy of the document.
South West Regional Assembly	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the SPD, notes it fits well in respect of Policy E High Quality Design and Policy G Sustainable Construction of the emerging RSS.
Sport England	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considers that their publication “Active Design” would be helpful to promote health & well being of new populations through its guidance on the layout and design of new developments.
Maritime Plymouth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considers that the diversity of Plymouth’s maritime environment should be recognised in this SPD. • Seeks recognition that Inland development can have direct & indirect impacts upon the Maritime environment. • Concerned that the Council’s stance towards high design standards may make pure maritime Industrial applications undeliverable. • Suggests the SPD should acknowledge views from the sea towards the City and comment on good and bad points. • Seeks recognition that Plymouth’s Eastern Gateway is home to Plymouth commercial Port not picked up in the SPD (not readily visible to motorist on approach to the city).
Gov’t Office of South West	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acknowledges that they have no comments or objections to make.