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Executive Summary:
Since the Cabinet Report of 28 November 2006, Asset Management Service has successfully completed the marketing of the land deal to appoint a development partner. This piece of work was completed by end of May 2007. In June DCMS wrote to the Council confirming that the Civic Centre campus had been approved for listing as a Grade II Listed Building.

The Listing is of such a major financial concern for the Council that during the past two and half months all efforts have been focussed on dealing with the listing and its potential implications.

This paper serves to provide a background to the selection of RoK as development partner summarises the Council’s position regarding the listing, comments on the structural condition and reviews the financial implications for the Council. In addition this report also promotes Bretonside Bus Station as the recommended site for relocation of the Civic Centre office facilities. The remaining items to be agreed by members are detailed within this report and summarised below under Recommendations & Reasons for recommended action.

Corporate Plan 2007-2010:

Strategic Objective 2 – Developing a Prosperous Economy – Asset Management Plan Key Outcomes 7 and 8
Strategic Objective 3 – Promoting Community Safety – 3.1 Safety in Design

Strategic Objective 8 – Stimulating Culture and Leisure Facilities – 8.3 Provide good quality cultural and leisure services that meet recognised national standards.

Strategic Objective 9 - All Strategic Objectives – 9.6 Improve the access to buildings and services and 9.7 Improve the Council’s financial position and effectively manage assets.

Implications for Medium Term Financial Plan and Resource Implications:
Including finance, human, IT and land

It is impossible at this stage to specifically identify the precise implications of the Accommodation Strategy in relation to the MTFF due to the uncertainty caused by the listing.

There are in effect three scenarios to be addressed and as summarised below:

i. **The costs to the Council to be incurred on the building between now and 2011.**
Having regard to the survey work currently being undertaken we estimate that mandatory repairs alone to the external fabric could amount to several million pounds. Actual figures have yet to be confirmed and should become clearer once the detailed survey work has been completed by November. These costs will be classified as revenue costs given that they will be related to repairs to the building. The current Repairs and Maintenance Budget is c.£1.2m and is already fully committed.

ii. **The costs of staying on site long term**
This exercise has been undertaken and assumes that the Council develops new offices on part of the existing campus. As recently reported in the press this option will be a minimum cost of £45 million before taking account of the additional rental costs.

iii. **The costs of disposal of the Civic Centre campus and the Council offices relocated to Bretonside and incorporating the undertaking to RoK in respect of planning applications on both sites.**
Relocation to a new site was financially justified in the initial appraisals which informed the Cabinet report in November 2006. The costs to be incurred by RoK are presently being assessed in detail although indicative estimates are that the fees will amount to several hundred thousand pounds. Although these fees will be recoverable upon
redevelopment of the Civic Centre campus they will in the interim be treated as a revenue expense. The capital receipt to be made from the sale of the Civic Centre campus will be immediately reinvested as a contribution towards the development costs of the new building. This has the effect of reducing the rental payments that the Council will have to make. It is possible that the rental payments could be further reduced if the Council determines to invest further capital into the redevelopment.

From a revenue position, it is expected that the Council will ultimately require a building of c.200,000 square feet and that this will comprise 165,000 square feet of staff offices, 5,000 square feet for the One Stop Shop and 30,000 square feet for the Council Members offices and Chamber. (The provision of a new Central Library of c.25,000 square feet will provided for within the redevelopment of the Civic Centre campus). Anticipated rental is currently forecast to be in line with the budget forecasts. Set against this cost are the following anticipated revenue savings:

- Existing leased accommodation, energy savings, efficiency savings – totalling - £850,000 per annum.

Human Resource and IT matters in particular will be further addressed in the development of the Workplace Management Strategy.

**Other Implications: e.g. Section 17 Community Safety, Health and Safety, Risk Management, Equalities Impact Assessment, etc.**

The details of any proposed scheme will address all current Health and Safety issues including Asbestos management. The other major issue that will be addressed by implementing the Accommodation Strategy will be that of full compliance with the requirements of the Disability Discrimination Act.

The project implementation document will incorporate a full risk management exercise.

**Recommendations & Reasons for recommended action:**

Members are asked to endorse the recommendations of this document, which are as follows:
1. To agree, in accordance with resolutions 1 and 2 of the November 2006 Cabinet Report, the appointment of RoK as the Council’s preferred development partner – such appointment to be provided for by way of a Lock Out Agreement to run for a maximum period of 3 years and to enable RoK to secure acceptable planning consents for the redevelopment of the Civic Centre site and the new offices at Bretonside. In addition to this to enter into Heads of Terms for the sale and development of Bretonside.

Reason: To enable the Council to progress with the Accommodation Strategy with the aim of delivering new Council Offices. The appointment of RoK will complete the first stage of bringing forward the development proposals for both the Civic Centre campus and the Bretonside Bus Station site. Approval will effectively re-confirm the resolution no. 1 of the Cabinet Report of November 2006.

2. To agree the relocation of the office facilities currently provided within the Civic Centre campus, to a new office building to be developed on the site of Bretonside Bus Station.

Reason: The site is ideally situated and is in the direct control of the City Council. There are no known insurmountable impediments to the development of this site that will assure the much needed regeneration of the site. Relocating to this site will ensure that the City Centre remains supported by the Council and that officers and members are centrally located and readily accessible to members of the public and the Council’s customers in general. This is also in accordance with resolution no. 1 to the Cabinet Report of November 2006.

3. To agree to underwrite the costs to be incurred by RoK in bringing forward a planning application and listed building consent for demolition in respect of the Civic Centre campus, subject to the Head of Legal Services, following advice from Counsel, confirming the legal authority in this respect. Such costs to be treated as a development cost and therefore reimbursed to the Council if the applications are successful.

Reason: The Accommodation Strategy cannot be progressed with RoK unless the Council confirms the initial underwriting of these costs.
4. The Chief Executive, Director for Corporate Resources and Head of Asset Management, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder, consults with Cabinet and Leaders of the Opposition Parties regarding design proposals (ahead of planning applications) and to agree a strategy for dealing with the preservation of historic artefacts incorporated into the Council House, prior to development agreements being entered into. (Repeat of Resolution already agreed in November 2006).

*Reason: To enable Member input to any design proposals affecting new accommodation, and the wider mixed development ahead of any development agreement and planning application. It is anticipated that for such a major development that Public consultation will be a requirement of any planning application process.*

5. To agree to delegated authority being given to the Chief Executive, Director for Corporate Resources and Head of Asset Management, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder, to conclude all further negotiations concerning the Accommodation Strategy including the freehold disposal of the Civic Centre campus and Bretonside Bus Station, subject to the Head of Legal services, following advice from Counsel, confirming the legal process in respect of any future Planning Application and Listed Building Consent for demolition in respect of the Civic Centre Campus.

*Reason: To enable negotiations to develop without the need to continually refer technical and procedural matters back to Cabinet. Evolution of the Accommodation Strategy through this next phase should not be constrained by constant reference to Cabinet and the procedural requirements that entails. The portfolio holder will continue to be kept fully apprised.*

**Alternative options considered and reasons for recommended action:**

All previous alternative options have already been addressed in the Cabinet Report of 28th November 2006.
Background papers: None

Sign off:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Head of Fin</th>
<th>CD R/A B/C eSF 780 011</th>
<th>Head of Leg</th>
<th>JTR</th>
<th>Head of HR</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>Head of AM</th>
<th>NTS /118/</th>
<th>Head of IT</th>
<th>001 1NJ C.</th>
<th>Head of Strat Proc</th>
<th>JFC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Originating SMT Member: Nalin seneviratne
City Council

Monday 3 August 2009

PRESENT:

The Lord Mayor, Councillor K Foster, in the Chair.
Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Drean, Vice Chair.
Councillors Mrs Aspinall, Ball, Mrs Beer, Berrow, Bowie, Bowyer, Mrs Bowyer, Mrs Bragg, Brookshaw, Coker, Delbridge, Mrs Dolan, Evans, M Foster, Mrs Foster, Fox, Fry, Gordon, James, Jordan, Kerswell, Michael Leaves, Lock, Lowry, Dr. Mahony, McDonald, Miller MBE, Mrs Nelder, Mrs Nicholson, Nicholson, Mrs Pengelly, Purnell, Rennie, Reynolds, Ricketts, Roberts, Dr. Salter, Smith, Stark, Mrs Stephens, Stevens, Vincent, Viney, Mrs Watkins, Wheeler, Wigans and Wildy.

Apologies for absence: Councillors Dann, King, Martin Leaves, Sam Leaves, Monahan and Thompson

The meeting started at 2.00 pm and finished at 5.30 pm.

Note: At a future meeting, the Council will consider the accuracy of these draft minutes, so they may be subject to change. Please check the minutes of that meeting to confirm whether these minutes have been amended.

24. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The following declarations of interest were made by Members in accordance with the Code of Conduct in relation to Minutes 32 and 33 relating to Notices of Motion Nos. 2 and 3: Sale of Shares in Plymouth CityBus Limited and Key Decisions, under consideration at this meeting –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Declaration</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Bowyer</td>
<td>Personal and prejudicial</td>
<td>Shareholding in Taxibank which is a potential rival bidder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Mrs Bowyer</td>
<td>Personal and prejudicial</td>
<td>Shareholding in Taxibank which is a potential rival bidder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Mrs Dolan</td>
<td>Personal and prejudicial</td>
<td>Director of Plymouth CityBus Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Drean</td>
<td>Personal and prejudicial</td>
<td>Director of Plymouth CityBus Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor M Foster</td>
<td>Personal and prejudicial</td>
<td>Director of Plymouth CityBus Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Mike Leaves</td>
<td>Personal and prejudicial</td>
<td>Relative employed by Plymouth CityBus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Stark</td>
<td>Personal and prejudicial</td>
<td>Director of Plymouth CityBus Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Vincent</td>
<td>Personal and prejudicial</td>
<td>Employee of Plymouth CityBus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Viney</td>
<td>Personal and prejudicial</td>
<td>Director and Chair of the Board of Plymouth CityBus Limited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 6 July 2009 were signed as a correct record subject to the amendment of the note under the attendance list to indicate that the Council will consider the accuracy of the draft minutes.
26. **Chief Executive**

**Appointments to committees, outside bodies etc**

**Resolved** that the following appointments were approved –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee / Board</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Appointment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Corporate Joint Consultative Committee</td>
<td>To fill vacancy arising from the resignation of Councillor Pattison</td>
<td>Councillor Dann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Corporate Health, Safety and Welfare Committee</td>
<td>To fill vacancy arising from the resignation of Councillor Pattison</td>
<td>Councillor Dann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Corporate Impact Board (Stock Transfer)</td>
<td>To fill vacancy arising from the resignation of Councillor Pattison</td>
<td>Councillor Evans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>No. of Members</th>
<th>Term of Office</th>
<th>Appointments / nominations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(4) Adoption and Permanence Panel</td>
<td>1 Councillor – vacancy previously deferred</td>
<td>Fixed term of office for 3 years to May 2012</td>
<td>Councillor Delbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) East End Development Trust</td>
<td>Resignation of Councillor Ricketts</td>
<td>Continuing membership until such time as the Member resigns or is replaced by Council</td>
<td>Councillor Mrs Nelder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. **Leader**

The Leader formally recorded the Council’s congratulations to Tom Daley on his achievement as World Diving Champion and referred to the events on Friday 31 July, led by the Lord Mayor, to welcome him home from the World Championships in Rome. On behalf of the Council, the Leader also wished him well for the Olympics in 2012.

28. **Councillor Purnell**

Councillor Purnell (Chair of the Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Panel) advised the Council that –

- a training day had been arranged for members of the panel on 10 September 2009, to be provided by researchers from Dartington Hall, and would be open to any Councillors to attend;

- the time of meetings of the Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Panel had been changed from 2 pm to 10 am;

- a short video from You Tube entitled ‘Did You Know?’ had been shown to the panel and as it had been very informative, she asked that all Members should be given an opportunity to view it. In the absence of Councillor Monahan, she indicated that she would pass instructions on how to access the video to the Leader, so that it could be made widely available.

29. **QUESTIONS BY THE PUBLIC**

In accordance with paragraph 10 of the Constitution, Messrs Gibbons and Baskerville attended the meeting and asked the following questions to which Cabinet Members responded as set out below -
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question No</th>
<th>Question By</th>
<th>Cabinet Member</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 09/10</td>
<td>Mr A J Gibbons</td>
<td>Councillor Mrs Pengelly, Leader</td>
<td>Plymouth CityBus – future guarantees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the event of Plymouth City Council deciding to sell all or part of its share in Plymouth CityBus, will guarantees be enforced with the new owners on future prices, services and jobs?

**Response:**

If a decision to sell all or part of the shares in Plymouth CityBus is made, then there will be positive negotiations with any interested parties about what Plymouth people could expect from them and their proposals for the future of the company.

We've had a very good number of interested parties expressing a wish to buy CityBus so we are in a strong position as a seller. We could certainly look into the conditions of sale and what finer details are written into sales contracts. However, these would need to be agreed with any new owner.

The important thing to remember is that we are making this decision in the best interests of Plymouth and its residents. We are looking to see if there is an organisation that can take over Plymouth CityBus and make it more successful. We will not sell Plymouth residents short. We'll be checking to see if the company could expand, what new ideas they have to create a better service and how they could improve the running of CityBus.

In the current climate, I’m surprised that anybody expects guarantees of jobs for life. Whilst no one can give those kinds of guarantees, we want to do everything we can to ensure that the future of CityBus is secure, and that will be best done by carrying out this project and seeing what options there are for the future of the company.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question No</th>
<th>Question By</th>
<th>Cabinet Member</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 09/10</td>
<td>Mr M Baskerville</td>
<td>Councillor Mrs Pengelly, Leader</td>
<td>Plymouth CityBus – debate and discussions with trade union representatives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You stated in the Herald 19th June there should be ‘A Healthy Debate’ about the future of CityBus. What form should this debate take? Will you undertake to meet with Trade Union Representatives and others with concerns to discuss the whole issue of CityBus?
Response:

The healthy debate I referred to in my letter that was featured in the Herald will be carried out by your local councillors who have been elected to represent the views of their wards. The final decision whether to sell Plymouth CityBus will be debated at Full Council. This will ensure that all views are represented by all elected parties.

I’m extremely surprised that as the gentleman posing the question is a trade union representative that he did not know that we’ve already met with trade unions, and indeed, they were informed about the proposals for CityBus ahead of the general public announcement being made. Likewise I’m surprised that he doesn’t know about the meeting that’s being arranged with staff and staff representatives to talk about any concerns they may have and to answer their questions.

If trade unions want to have meaningful discussions, then they know very well that they only need ask for a meeting, rather than raising questions in Full Council that they already know the answers to.

If members of the public have concerns, then they have all the usual feedback channels open to them – they can speak to their local councillor, they can send feedback via our customer comments on our website, they can write to us. Indeed we’ve already replied to 150 letters answering people’s questions and giving feedback about the proposals.

We are being very open and honest about the way we are going about this project - it’s being done in the public domain. If this isn’t healthy public debate, then I don’t know what is?

Everyone sat here today will all make the decision about the future of Plymouth CityBus. I would like to think that we’ll do that based on the facts we hear from officers about what it would mean for CityBus, plus what Plymouth people have told us.

30. **TO DEAL WITH ANY BUSINESS FROM THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING, IF ANY**

There were no items of business outstanding for this meeting.

**ITEM REFERRED FROM CABINET**

31. **Local Development Framework: Submission of City Centre and University Area Action Plan**

Councillor Fry submitted the recommendations of Cabinet minute 40 for approval. The motion was seconded by Councillor Mrs Stephens.

An amendment was subsequently moved by Councillor Wildy and seconded by Councillor Evans as set out below –

Under Recommendation 1
Add, after ‘draft’
‘with the caveat that the affordable housing requirement is applied to the three Strategic Sites in the City Centre and University Area Action Plan, Colin Campbell Court, Cornwall Street and North Cross / Railway Station in accordance with the Core Strategy’

Under Recommendation 3
Add, after ‘consultation process’
‘but major revision should come to the next Council meeting on the 12th October 2009’
Under Recommendation 4
Delete after ‘after’ and insert ‘following agreement of the Council on 12th October’

The Lord Mayor put the amendment to the vote by a show hands, and the amendment was declared lost.

During the debate on the substantive motion, Councillor Evans raised a point of order concerning the presence of Councillor Lock, Chair of Planning Committee, and in response the Head of Legal Services advised Members that his presence was in order as the item related to a matter of policy.

Following a request by 10 Members for a poll vote on the recommendations of Cabinet, there voted –

For the motion (30)

Councillors Ball, Mrs Beer, Berrow, Bowyer, Mrs Bowyer, Mrs Bragg, Brookshaw, Delbridge, Mrs Dolan, Drean, M. Foster, Mrs Foster, Fox, Fry, James, Jordan, Michael Leaves, Lock, Dr Mahony, Mrs Nicholson, Mrs Pengelly, Reynolds, Ricketts, Roberts, Dr Salter, Stark, Mrs Stephens, Viney, Mrs Watkins and Wigens.

Against the motion (17)

Councillors Mrs Aspinall, Bowie, Coker, Evans, Gordon, Kerswell, Lowry, McDonald, Miller, Mrs Nelder, Purnell, Rennie, Smith, Stevens, Vincent, Wheeler and Wildy.

Abstentions (0)

Not Voting (1)

The Lord Mayor.

The following Members were absent (8)

Councillors Browne, Dann, King, Martin Leaves, Sam Leaves, Monahan, Nicholson and Thompson.

The motion was declared carried and it was Resolved that Cabinet minute 40 was approved.

MOTIONS ON NOTICE

32. Sale of Shares in Plymouth CityBus Limited - No 2 09/10

In accordance with the Rules of Procedure, the following motion (No 2 09/10) was moved by Councillor Evans and seconded by Councillor Stevens -

SALE OF SHARES IN PLYMOUTH CITYBUS LTD

Plymouth City Council notes the overwhelming concern of the public over the potential sale of all or part of its shareholding in Plymouth CityBus Ltd.

We also note that several delegated decisions are required to decide whether to continue or stop the process, with a vote of the Full Council on the final decision in November once the preliminary stages have taken place.

In light of the Council’s commitment to openness and accountability, we resolve that a positive vote of the Full Council will be required before each of stages 2 and 3 can proceed.

During the moving of the motion, reference was made to the approved membership of the Project Board which included Councillor Bowyer, and the Leader raised a point of order to clarify that Councillor Bowyer had resigned from the Board shortly after its establishment.
During the debate, Councillor Brookshaw raised a point of order to correct a statement made about a comment he made at a meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Commission, which he subsequently withdrew.

At the conclusion of the debate the Leader requested a transcript of the debate.

Following a request by 10 Members for a poll vote on the motion, there voted –

For the motion (16)

Councillors Mrs Aspinall, Bowie, Coker, Evans, Gordon, Kerswell, Lowry, McDonald, Miller, Mrs Nelder, Purnell, Rennie, Smith, Stevens, Wheeler and Wildy.

Against the motion (20)

Councillors Ball, Brookshaw, Delbridge, Mrs Foster, Fox, Fry, James, Jordan, Lock, Dr Mahony, Mrs Nicholson, Nicholson, Mrs Pengelly, Reynolds, Ricketts, Roberts, Dr Salter, Mrs Stephens, Mrs Watkins and Wigens.

Abstentions (0)

Not Voting (1)

The Lord Mayor.

The following Members were absent (19)

Councillors Mrs Beer, Berrow, Bowyer, Mrs Bowyer, Mrs Bragg, Browne, Dann, Mrs Dolan, Drean, M Foster, King, Martin Leaves, Michael Leaves, Sam Leaves, Monahan, Stark, Thompson, Vincent and Viney.

The motion was declared lost.

(Councillors Bowyer, Mrs Bowyer, Mrs Dolan, Drean, M Foster, Michael Leaves, Stark, Vincent and Viney having declared interests in the above item withdrew from the meeting.)

33. **Key Decisions - No 3 09/10**

In accordance with the Rules of Procedure, the following motion (No 3 09/10) was moved by Councillor Evans and seconded by Councillor Stevens -

**KEY DECISIONS**

_Plymouth City Council notes that it defines a Key Decision as:_

(a) Any decision in relation to an Executive function which results in the Council incurring expenditure which is, or the making of savings which are, significant having regard to the local authority’s budget for the service or function to which the decision relates; or

(b) Any decision that is likely to have a significant impact on two or more wards within the Council.

_The threshold for significant expenditure / savings is £2 million for the award of contracts and £500,000 for all other matters._

_We therefore resolve that all decisions concerning and leading to any potential sale of Plymouth City Council’s shareholding in Plymouth CityBus Ltd will appear in the Forward Plan as appropriate._
During the debate, Councillor Nicholson sought legal advice on the requirement for decisions to be taken by the City Council to be included in the Forward Plan and the Head of Legal Services responded.

Following a request by 10 Members for a poll vote on the motion, there voted –

For the motion (16)
- Councillors Mrs Aspinall, Bowie, Coker, Evans, Gordon, Kerswell, Lowry, McDonald, Miller, Mrs Nelder, Purnell, Rennie, Smith, Stevens, Wheeler and Wildy.

Against the motion (18)
- Councillors Ball, Brookshaw, Delbridge, Mrs Foster, Fox, Fry, James, Jordan, Lock, Dr Mahony, Mrs Pengelly, Reynolds, Ricketts, Roberts, Dr Salter, Mrs Stephens, Mrs Watkins and Wigens.

Abstentions (2)
- Councillors Mrs Nicholson and Nicholson.

Not Voting (1)
- The Lord Mayor.

The following Members were absent (19)
- Councillors Mrs Beer, Berrow, Bowyer, Mrs Bowyer, Mrs Bragg, Browne, Dann, Mrs Dolan, Drean, M Foster, King, Martin Leaves, Michael Leaves, Sam Leaves, Monahan, Stark, Thompson, Vincent and Viney.

The motion was declared lost.

(Councilors Bowyer, Mrs Bowyer, Mrs Dolan, Drean, M Foster, Michael Leaves, Stark, Vincent and Viney having declared interests in the above item withdrew from the meeting.)

34. **ANY OTHER BUSINESS SPECIFIED IN THE SUMMONS TO THE MEETING OR MATTERS TAKEN AS A MATTER OF URGENCY**

There were no items of business.

**QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS**

35. **General Questions**

In accordance with paragraph 12 of the Constitution, the following questions were asked of the Leader, Cabinet Members and Committee Chairs covering aspects of their areas of responsibility –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Councillor Evans</td>
<td>Councillor Mrs Pengelly</td>
<td>Public question No 9 09/10 on Plymouth CityBus – expressions of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Councillor Kerswell</td>
<td>Councillor Brookshaw</td>
<td>Council’s policy on vandalism by Council tenants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Councillor Wheeler</td>
<td>Councillor Mrs Pengelly</td>
<td>Playing fields at Barne Barton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Councillor McDonald</td>
<td>Councillor Viney</td>
<td>Scrutiny of risk assessment of Hoe Foreshore</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
36. **Forward Plan**

Following the introduction of the Forward Plan by the Leader, a question was asked of the Leader and Cabinet as follows –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Evans</td>
<td>Councillor Mrs Pengelly</td>
<td>Plymouth CityBus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>