References provided in relation to SHLAA sites in response to Council’s case on the ‘constrained supply’ in the TTVPA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHLAA ref</th>
<th>SHLAA conclusions</th>
<th>Planning position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| WD_13_07_08/13            | Not suitable. States: “The whole of the site is not suitable for development due to flood zones, adjoining industrial uses, impact on landscape character and impact on heritage assets. However, there is potential for residential and employment development on part of the site.” | Not allocated in the JLP. Application for 100 dwellings refused for 100 dwellings in 2017 (LPA ref: 3836/16/FUL). Reasons for refusal:  
  - Outside of settlement boundaries  
  - Lack of an archaeological assessment  
  Currently subject to an appeal (PINS ref: APP/Q1153/W/17/3189494) |
| WD_49_06_08/13            | Not suitable due to a range of environmental constraints.                                                                                                                                                             | Not allocated in the JLP. Appeal for 22 dwellings allowed 6th February 2018 (PINS ref: APP/Q1153/W/17/3177360)                                                                                                  |
| SH_07_13_16               | Included: “Limited constraints and/or constraints which can be overcome.”                                                                                                                                           | Not allocated in the JLP. Planning application refused in 2017 (LPA ref: 3884/16/FUL). Reasons for refusal:  
  - Impact upon the social wellbeing of the village  
  - Highways  
  - Design  
  - Lack of information relating to a nearby composting facility  
  Currently subject to an appeal (PINS ref: APP/K1128/W/18/3196171) |