

**APPENDIX V: SCHEDULE OF REPRESENTATIONS SUBMITTED VIA THE 'JLP OTHER SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS' EVENT ON OBJECTIVE**

| Consultee ID | Comment ID | Policy/Area/Theme                                      | Full Name (Agent) | Organisation (Agent) | Full Name (Consultee)       | Organisation (Consultee):                                 | Attend Examination? | Comment Summary   | Summary Statement  | Detailed Response  |
|--------------|------------|--|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---|---------------------|---|--|--|
| 1014005      | 31 (Other) | HRA  |                   |                      | Carol Reeder                | Planning Policy Natural England                           | Not indicated       | Series of specific comments on HRA (see rep)  | Noted  | The comments refer to a number of issues relating to the Habitat Regulations Assessment. Many of these are error / clarification which have been accommodated. Others will be addressed through the Statement of Common Ground with Natural England. |
| 1004328      | 10 (Other) | Integrated Assessment                                  |                   |                      | Mr Paul Roberts             |   | Not indicated       | Although submitted as a response to the Integrated Assessment paper, this is actually about the JLP. Supports the plan, especially in relation to Tamerton Foliot   | Relates to JLP rather than Other documents                           | Support welcomed   |
| 1011611      | 01 (Other) | Integrated Assessment                                  |                   |                      | mr eric heavisides          |   | Not indicated       | Challenges site allocation methodology given the allocation at Policy TTV29.23  | To be considered in refresh of Sustainability Appraisal              | The Sustainability Appraisal is being refreshed prior to submission of the plan, including the provision of additional detail around the site selection process  |
| 1014005      | 35 (Other) | Integrated Assessment                                  |                   |                      | Carol Reeder                | Natural England   | Not indicated       | Concerned about the scope and detail of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA). Particular concerns regard the lack of detail on sites  | To be considered in refresh of Sustainability Appraisal              | The LPAs accept that the SA/SEA needs further iteration to put more detail on certain aspects of the assessment process and to clarify the document and approach taken. This is being undertaken prior to submission of the plan.                    |
| 1095125      | 33 (Other) | Integrated Assessment                                  |                   |                      | Ms Victoria Tanner-Tremaine |   | Not indicated       | It has some major inadequacies in its approach to what it has assessed and what it has omitted to assess, and how it has assessed policies and sites against the Integrated Assessment Framework and with regard to the assessment's use of the evidence base. The representation goes on to include a compliance review, which criticises the IA report and process. | To be considered in refresh of Sustainability Appraisal              | The LPAs accept that the SA/SEA needs further iteration to put more detail on certain aspects of the assessment process and to clarify the document and approach taken. This is being undertaken prior to submission of the plan.                    |
| 1105775      | 27 (Other) | Omission site (TTV Policy Area)                        |                   |                      | Debbie Crowther             |   | Not indicated       | Although submitted under the OTHER documents consultation, this comment relates to the JLP. OMISSION SITE Land at Eastercombe Field, Malborough SH_33_05_08/13 should be allocated  | Plan sound (no change needed)  | See JLP response report - ID27   |
| 1076159      | 03 (Other) | Plymouth Greenspace Map 3                              |                   |                      | Mr Adrian Cottenham         |   | Not indicated       | Land allocated as local green space at Efford Crematorium, partly has extant planning permission for the erection of housing.   | Plan sound (but change could be agreed)                              | Amendment of local green space boundary to exclude the land which has extant planning permission. This was an error which was not corrected prior to submission.   |
| 1095069      | 23 (Other) | Policy SPT2  |                   |                      | Mr Anthony Crellin-Whitty   |   | Not indicated       | Although submitted under the OTHER documents consultation, this comment relates to the JLP. Suggests making allotment provision mandatory not aspirational. Suggest increase from 0.15/1000 to 0.4ha/1000. suggest requirement for consultation with allotment associations, town/parish councils/NP groups   | Relates to JLP rather than Other documents                           | 0.15ha is based on English Allotments Survey target for Devon, no change required. Mandatory provision would result in a lot of unused allotments. Suggest policy text works as it stands  |
| 1094190      | 11 (Other) | Policy TTV29 (Modbury)                                 |                   |                      | Mrs Gillian Sparks          |   | Not indicated       | Although submitted under the OTHER documents consultation, this comment relates to the JLP. OBJECTS to overall housing numbers and distribution of new homes for Modbury. This comments does not relate to the revised Settlement Boundary for Modbury.   | Relates to JLP rather than Other documents                           | Comment relates to specific allocations policies and does not reference the revised boundary. Responses in relation to the housing numbers and distribution of new homes for Modbury are considered in the JLP responses part of the report.         |
| 1092873      | 04 (Other) | Review of City, District and Local Centres in Plymouth |                   |                      | Mrs KATHLEEN DOWN           |   | Not indicated       | Although submitted as a response to the Plymouth Centres' paper, this is actually about the JLP. Fish market should be retained as a working complex.   | Relates to JLP rather than Other documents                           | This is a comment on the JLP itself rather than the Other documents. PLY26 supports the retention of fish market as a working complex.   |
| 998509       | 17 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries                                  |                   |                      | Mr Richard Haigh            | Rattery Parish Council/Rattery Parish Plan Steering Group | Not indicated       | SUPPORT the principles being proposed to revise settlement boundaries.  | Noted  | Support welcomed   |
| 1002092      | 30 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries                                  |                   |                      | Roger English               | AONB Partnership Committee                                | Not indicated       | Requests that maps should have shown where revisions have been made, and indicated where allocated sites have resulted in boundary modifications  | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | AGREE that final draft boundary plans could show how the boundary has been revised.  |
| 1002307      | 13 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries                                  |                   |                      | Mr Steve Male               | Highampton Neighbourhood Plan Group                       | Not indicated       | Generally the criteria seem to be a sensible set of proposals. However, they have unintended consequences. Revisions proposed.  | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | The proposed revisions misunderstand how the revised settlement boundaries are proposed to be used.  |
| 1002318      | 29 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries                                  |                   |                      | Sue Crowther                | Thurlestone Parish Council                                | Not indicated       | PROPOSED minor amends to the revised boundary for Thurlestone   | To be considered in review Settlement                                | AGREE to revise boundary where consistent with overarching principles.   |

| Consultee ID | Comment ID     | Policy/Area/Theme     | Full Name (Agent) | Organisation (Agent) | Full Name (Consultee) | Organisation (Consultee):             | Attend Examination? | Comment Summary  | Summary Statement  | Detailed Response   |
|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|---|
|              |                |                       |                   |                      |                       | and Neighbourhood Plan                |                     |  | Boundaries background document                                       |   |
| 1002586      | 15 (Other)     | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Ms Jean Trewitt       | North Tawton Neighbourhood Plan Group | Not indicated       | Detailed revisions of the draft boundary.  | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | AGREE to revise boundary where consistent with overarching principles.  |
| 1013041      | 38 (Other)     | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mr Daniel Rogers      | Bell Cornwell                         | Not indicated       | PROPOSES that brownfield land adjacent to edge of settlement be included within boundaries. Proposes OMISSION SITE.  | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | The principle of developing on brownfield land is accepted, but it should be automatically assumed that brownfield land should be include within a settlement boundary, as the land in question may not form a functional part of the settlement. If development of the site is appropriate there are policies within the JLP that will be applied to bring such sites forward. |
| 1013379      | 18 (Other)     | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mr Peter Fenwick      | Stoke Gabriel Neighbourhood Plan      | Not indicated       | Although this representation was submitted in response to the Settlement Boundary document, much of its content relates to the JLP itself and not to Settlement Boundaries. It supports Strategic Objective SO9; welcomes the detail of Policy TTV30; supports DEV27-30; and considers DEV32 should specifically consider sewage infrastructure. In relation to Settlement Boundaries it comments on a lack of detail in the indicative assessment of the number of homes to be brought forward. Furthermore, cannot see evidence of consideration of an appropriately sited new settlement , with associated infrastructure to add a significant contribution to housing completions and a net reduction on strain on infrastructure generally in the South Hams. Would like to see phasing in the overall numbers which should translate to the individual Neighbourhood Plans. Supports the input from the Paignton Neighbourhood Forum.                          | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | In relation to the Settlement boundary paper, the need for further information will be considered as part of the process of reviewing this document. The comments on the JLP are welcomed. In relation to sewage infrastructure this is provided for in DEV37.  |
| 1014510      | 32 (Other)     | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Dr Richard Dalley     |                                       | Not indicated       | SUPPORTS proposed boundary for Kingston, and believes the boundary has been drawn correctly.   | Noted  | Support welcomed  |
| 1049355      | 19 (Other)     | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mr Mark Lawrence      |                                       | Not indicated       | Points out that if different sites come forward in the JLP, the relevant settlement boundary will need to be revised.  | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | AGREE that future boundaries will need to be drawn around allocated sites.  |
| 1064127      | 39 (Other) (L) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mr Barry Clark        |                                       | Not indicated       | Representation originally on the Stoke Fleming Neighbourhood Plan 2016-2035 which was asked to be transferred on various issues  | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | Misunderstanding how the boundary will be applied, suggesting that revised boundary will prevent NP allocating sites outside the boundary. Other comments not related to JLP, but emerging NP.  |
| 1076134      | 16 (Other)     | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Dr Clive Holgate      |                                       | Not indicated       | Although this representation was submitted in response to the Settlement Boundary document, much of its content relates to the JLP itself and not to Settlement Boundaries. Identifies preferred sites in Modbury and opposes other sites at the origin of the Dartmouth Road and adjacent to Ayleston Park. The proposed sites for development in the JLP provide relatively easy access for pedestrians to the church, school, children's playground and community hall. All these facilities are located close to each other and parking in this area is very limited. Access to shops is difficult from all the proposed development sites. The proposed sites for development in the JLP will not increase the built envelope of the town as there are already houses on the A379 beyond these areas. Supports the JLP with regard to suitable sites for house building although doubts that there is a need for the proposed number of new houses in the town. | Relates to JLP rather than Other documents                           | Comment relates to specific allocations policies and does not reference the revised boundary. Responses in relation to the housing numbers and distribution of new homes for Modbury are considered in the JLP responses part of the report.  |
| 1092882      | 05 (Other)     | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | mrs nicola crawford   |                                       | Not indicated       | OBJECTS to overall housing numbers and distribution of new homes for Modbury. This comments does not relate to the revised   | Relates to JLP rather than Other documents                           | Comment relates to specific allocations policies and does not reference the revised boundary. Responses in relation to the housing numbers and distribution of new homes for  |

| Consultee ID | Comment ID | Policy/Area/Theme     | Full Name (Agent) | Organisation (Agent) | Full Name (Consultee) | Organisation (Consultee):               | Attend Examination? | Comment Summary  | Summary Statement  | Detailed Response   |
|--------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|---|
|              |            |                       |                   |                      |                       |   |                     | Settlement Boundary for Modbury.   |  | Modbury are considered in the JLP responses part of the report.   |
| 1092938      | 06 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mr Kerry John Brookes |   | Not indicated       | OBJECTS to the settlement assessment for Bratton Clovelly.   | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | This representation makes no reference to the revised settlement boundary. Village assessments will be reviewed and revised where appropriate.  |
| 1093108      | 07 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | mr nigel trevena      |   | Not indicated       | Although submitted as a response to the Settlement Boundaries paper, this is actually about the JLP. Agree with the plan's provisions for Tamerton Foliot                  | Relates to JLP rather than Other documents                           | Support welcomed  |
| 1093190      | 08 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Alison Samuel         |   | Not indicated       | OBJECTS to the settlement assessment for Rattery.  | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | This representation makes no reference to the revised settlement boundary. Village assessments will be reviewed and revised where appropriate.  |
| 1094164      | 09 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mrs Catherine Speight |   | Not indicated       | OBJECTS to overall housing numbers and distribution of new homes for Modbury. This comments does not relate to the revised Settlement Boundary for Modbury.                | Relates to JLP rather than Other documents                           | Comment relates to specific allocations policies and does not reference the revised boundary.   |
| 1094233      | 12 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mrs Dawn Tozer        |   | Not indicated       | Supports any plan to protect our villages and wildlife from unnecessary proposals of more housing developments and more importantly to protect our very precious wildlife. | Noted  | Support welcomed  |
| 1094250      | 14 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mrs Stephanie Bunch   |   | Not indicated       | Supports the plan  | Noted  | Support welcomed  |
| 1094446      | 20 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mrs Rosemary Lock     | Hatherleigh Town Council                | Not indicated       | PROPOSED minor amends to the revised boundary for Hatherleigh.   | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | AGREE to revise boundary where consistent with overarching principles.  |
| 1094752      | 24 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mr Martin Ware        |   | Not indicated       | OBJECTS to the inclusion of a field within the revised settlement boundary, on the basis that the field is 'valued by the community'.                                      | Noted  | The settlement boundary seeks to identify functional land used by the community. This representation seems to confirm that the field has been correctly included on that basis.   |
| 1094930      | 21 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mr Struan Coupar      | Stoke Fleming Parish Council            | Not indicated       | OBJECTS to the notion that the settlement boundary will only result in development occurring outside the boundary in exceptional circumstances.                            | Noted  | The respondent misunderstands how the settlement boundaries will be applied - the boundary does not preclude or prevent an NP identifying and allocation a site adjoining or outside a boundary providing that the allocations process has been rigorous and sound. |
| 1095053      | 22 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Cllr John Robinson    | Stoke Gabriel Parish Council            | Not indicated       | Endorses views of Stoke Gabriel and Paignton NP groups.  | Noted  | No direct comments relating to the revised settlement boundary for Stoke Gabriel.   |
| 1095108      | 26 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Mr Richard Boyt       |   | Not indicated       | PROPOSES additional area adjacent to Marldon that has recently been developed. Points out that Wrangaton boundary is within DNPA.  | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | AGREE to revise Marldon boundary as advised, and REMOVE boundary that has been drawn inside DNPA.   |
| 1095767      | 28 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Ray Willis            |   | Not indicated       | Objects to village assessment for Rattery.   | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | This representation makes no reference to the revised settlement boundary. Village assessments will be reviewed and revised where appropriate.  |
| 1096258      | 34 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Valerie Scott         |   | Not indicated       | PROPOSES minor amends to boundaries for Bigbury and St Anns Chapel   | To be considered in review Settlement Boundaries background document | AGREE to revise boundary where consistent with overarching principles.  |
| 1097098      | 36 (Other) | Settlement Boundaries |                   |                      | Allison J Chippendale | HSE Hazardous Installations Directorate | Not indicated       | General reference to awareness of HSE standing advice when drawing boundaries, and compatibility with identified zones.  | Noted  | Access to HSE data to be sent to NP groups. Revised boundaries do not in themselves allocate sites or identify future development sites.  |